

Supplement to “Learned D-AMP: Principled Neural Network based Compressive Image Recovery”

Christopher A. Metzler
Rice University
chris.metzler@rice.edu

Ali Mousavi
Rice University
ali.mousavi@rice.edu

Richard G. Baraniuk
Rice University
richb@rice.edu

Table 1: PSNR of 128×128 reconstructions with i.i.d. Gaussian measurements and no measurement noise.

$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	19.32	21.05	16.49	21.98	19.36	21.09	19.22
BM3D-AMP	18.04	18.92	12.25	20.79	18.28	20.38	16.77
LDIT	17.20	19.37	16.94	20.43	17.49	19.51	18.08
LDAMP	21.26	22.44	17.04	22.84	21.30	22.74	20.17
NLR-CS	20.31	21.51	14.96	22.05	19.69	21.55	19.22
$\frac{m}{n} = .10$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	21.75	22.95	16.77	23.21	21.98	23.07	20.86
BM3D-AMP	24.36	24.04	18.07	24.12	24.44	24.79	22.05
LDIT	19.39	21.49	17.23	22.17	19.13	21.24	19.82
LDAMP	25.80	24.67	17.36	24.37	26.00	25.43	22.52
NLR-CS	25.17	23.84	17.84	23.56	25.18	24.91	21.80
$\frac{m}{n} = .15$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	23.47	24.25	16.97	24.09	24.18	24.74	22.00
BM3D-AMP	27.35	25.67	19.94	25.02	27.37	26.96	23.35
LDIT	20.53	22.54	17.29	23.17	19.74	21.89	19.50
LDAMP	28.78	26.79	17.65	25.40	29.38	27.65	23.97
NLR-CS	28.10	25.57	20.11	24.45	28.06	26.82	23.10
$\frac{m}{n} = .20$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	25.20	25.47	17.32	24.84	25.90	26.17	23.08
BM3D-AMP	29.57	27.40	21.19	25.80	29.55	28.55	24.45
LDIT	20.42	22.50	17.34	22.66	21.45	22.29	20.95
LDAMP	31.10	28.58	18.07	26.31	31.88	29.57	25.21
NLR-CS	30.42	27.34	21.29	25.56	30.47	28.54	24.18
$\frac{m}{n} = .25$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	26.57	26.53	17.62	25.46	27.49	27.44	23.98
BM3D-AMP	31.39	28.89	21.97	26.50	31.27	30.01	25.37
LDIT	21.59	23.02	17.57	23.61	21.65	23.22	21.04
LDAMP	32.68	30.17	18.13	27.06	33.87	31.06	26.20
NLR-CS	32.42	28.78	22.04	26.52	32.47	30.13	25.26

Table 2: PSNR of 128×128 reconstructions with coded diffraction measurements and no measurement noise.

$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	21.66	22.70	16.79	23.20	21.94	22.98	20.92
BM3D-AMP	18.37	17.89	13.46	13.32	17.80	16.91	20.83
LDIT	20.20	21.09	16.69	22.31	19.07	20.85	19.98
LDAMP	22.10	23.45	17.33	23.54	22.32	23.50	20.94
NLR-CS	15.14	13.44	11.73	18.43	17.23	17.70	16.04
$\frac{m}{n} = .10$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	25.08	25.39	17.34	24.79	25.83	26.13	23.13
BM3D-AMP	25.40	24.48	18.68	24.48	25.52	25.53	22.56
LDIT	24.02	24.77	17.31	24.74	24.36	25.24	20.21
LDAMP	27.90	26.50	17.56	25.57	28.18	27.24	23.87
NLR-CS	24.12	21.56	17.11	21.15	24.05	22.27	21.04
$\frac{m}{n} = .15$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	27.78	27.71	17.96	26.05	28.90	28.54	24.90
BM3D-AMP	28.96	25.98	20.39	25.12	28.67	27.11	23.63
LDIT	28.44	26.59	17.31	25.73	30.21	26.92	24.28
LDAMP	30.85	28.79	17.86	26.68	32.07	29.54	25.69
NLR-CS	27.35	24.16	18.99	23.28	21.24	24.23	20.58
$\frac{m}{n} = .20$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	30.05	29.93	18.73	27.32	31.62	30.77	26.55
BM3D-AMP	32.08	28.07	21.41	25.89	31.47	28.99	24.57
LDIT	31.60	28.61	17.30	26.06	32.15	30.49	25.57
LDAMP	33.10	30.20	17.94	27.59	34.06	32.17	27.24
NLR-CS	26.41	25.26	21.04	23.48	28.18	27.67	23.47
$\frac{m}{n} = .25$	Barbara	Boat	Fingerprint	Mandrill	Peppers	Couple	Bridge
TVAL3	32.37	32.13	19.63	28.63	34.20	33.05	28.12
BM3D-AMP	34.69	29.59	22.26	26.36	34.74	30.51	25.69
LDIT	34.00	30.43	17.65	26.24	35.37	31.02	27.63
LDAMP	34.58	32.62	18.60	29.01	36.07	33.86	28.60
NLR-CS	31.74	28.16	20.64	25.79	28.60	28.89	21.04

Table 3: PSNR of reconstruction of 128×128 Boat test image with additive white Gaussian measurement noise (AWGN) with various standard deviations (s.d.).

AWGN with s.d. 10					
Sampling rate	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$
TVAL3	21.08	22.84	24.06	24.97	25.75
BM3D-AMP	16.99	23.98	25.40	26.79	27.64
LDAMP	22.41	24.56	26.36	27.62	28.56
AWGN with s.d. 20					
Sampling Rate	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$
TVAL3	21.00	22.60	23.50	23.94	24.16
BM3D-AMP	21.03	23.67	24.63	25.45	26.03
LDAMP	22.33	24.24	25.35	26.33	26.75
AWGN with s.d. 30					
Sampling Rate	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$
TVAL3	20.90	22.23	22.74	22.74	22.57
BM3D-AMP	18.43	23.34	24.09	24.46	24.80
LDAMP	22.11	23.80	24.55	25.10	25.34
AWGN with s.d. 40					
Sampling Rate	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$
TVAL3	20.77	21.80	21.93	21.60	21.12
BM3D-AMP	19.24	23.02	23.55	23.77	23.94
LDAMP	21.85	23.41	23.90	24.33	24.50
AWGN with s.d. 50					
Sampling Rate	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$	$\frac{m}{n} = .05$
TVAL3	20.58	21.33	21.17	20.53	19.84
BM3D-AMP	19.45	22.69	23.13	23.28	23.38
LDAMP	21.58	22.96	23.44	23.65	23.76